1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney ALSTYN BENNETT Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814		
3			
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	Officed States of Afficinea		
8	IN THE UNITED S'	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	L'ASTERI DIST	ide for child order	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-00318-DC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	JOSEPH PAUL HERRERA KINNEY,	COURT: Hon. Dena Coggins	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. On October 8, 2024, this case was reassigned to the Honorable Dena Coggins. ECF 49		
21	As part of that order, all court appearances currently set in the case were vacated. <i>Id</i> .		
22	2. By this stipulation, the parties now move to set a status conference for November 22,		
23	2024, and to exclude time between October 10, 2024 and November 22, 2024, under Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes investigative reports and related documents, photographs, video files, and extensive		
27	electronic search warrant returns. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to		
28	counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		

Case 2:23-cr-00318-DC Document 51 Filed 10/11/24 Page 2 of 3 b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct investigation and research into the charges, to discuss potential resolutions with his client, and to draft pretrial motions. c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. d) The government does not object to the continuance. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. f)

For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 10, 2024 to November 22, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

///

18 ///

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

## 

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order	er shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time period	ods are excludable from the period within which a tria
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	Dated: October 10, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT
6		United States Attorney
7		/s/ ALSTYN BENNETT
8		ALSTYN BENNETT Assistant United States Attorney
9		
10	Dated: October 10, 2024	/s/ HOOTAN BAIGMOHAMMADI HOOTAN BAIGMOHAMMADI
11		Counsel for Defendant JOSEPH PAUL HERRERA KINNEY
12		
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	•
15		DO DO
16	Dated: <u>October 10, 2024</u>	Dena Coggins
17		United States District Judge
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		